UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

	Uni	ted States of America v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
		Charles Lyle Truax	Case Number: CR-25-08032-03-PCT-DLR
			.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been ablished: (Check one or both, as applicable.)
	by	clear and convincing evidence the	defendant is a danger to the community and
	req	uire the detention of the defendant pe	nding trial in this case.
\boxtimes	by	a preponderance of the evidence t	he defendant is a flight risk and require the
	det	ention of the defendant pending trial i	n this case.
	(1)	There is probable cause to believe an offense for which a maximum prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et seq. □ an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924 □ an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332 maximum term of imprisonment of ter □ an offense involving a minor victim The defendant has not rebutted the	term of imprisonment of ten years or more is seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et 4(c), 956(a), or 2332(b). (b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a prescribed in Click here to enter text. 1 the presumption established by finding 1 that no ions will reasonably assure the appearance of
		Alternativ	e Findings
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the deficient conditions will reasonably assure to	endant will flee; no condition or combination of he appearance of the defendant as required.
	(2)	No condition or combination of cothers and the community.	onditions will reasonably assure the safety of
	(3)		defendant will obstruct or attempt to obstruct date a prospective witness or juror.

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

(Check one or both, as applicable.)

	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that: Click here to enter text.
	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of Click here to enter text. incarceration and a maximum of Click here to enter text.
	The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except: Click here to enter text.	
\boxtimes	Defer	dition: addant is on a writ into federal custody from Victorville, California and submitted the of detention

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD-PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third-party custodian.

Dated this 3rd day of April, 2025.

Honorable John Z. Boyle United States Magistrate Judge